



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,326	02/07/2006	Shoji Sekino	NEC NE70217	6649

  

27667	7590	03/18/2010
HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.		
3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE, SUITE 140		
TUCSON, AZ 85718		

  

EXAMINER	
ENIN-OKUT, EDU E	

  

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

  

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

admin@hayes-soloway.com  
smckniff@hayes-soloway.com  
nsoloway@hayes-soloway.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/567,326	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SEKINO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Edu E. Enin-Okut	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**ELECTRODE ACTIVE BLANKS AND METHODS OF MAKING**

***Detailed Action***

1. The amendments filed on December 10, 2009 were received. Applicant has amended claims 1, 3, and 6. Claims 1 and 3, 4, and 6-12 are pending.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirsch et al. (US 2004/0209133) is maintained. The rejection is repeated below for convenience.

*Regarding claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9 and 11*, Hirsch teaches a fuel cell system 100 including a fuel cell 102 that has a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) composed of a proton-conductive electrolyte 103 [solid electrolyte membrane] disposed between a catalyzed anode aspect 104a [fuel electrode] and a catalyzed cathode aspect 104b [oxidant electrode] (para. 47; Fig. 1). Liquid fuel is contained in a fuel tank 110 (para. 48). A fuel delivery regulation assembly 120 is disposed between the fuel tank 110 and a passive mass transport barrier element 112 (i.e., a methanol delivery film, MDF), or between the MDF 212 and a vapor chamber 216 [fuel vessel] holding vaporous fuel fed to the anode (para. 49, 51, 52; Figs. 1, 2). The regulation assembly 120 can be used to limit or control the amount of fuel that travels from the tank 110 to the MDF 112, or the fuel delivery directly to the anode aspect (para. 51, 52).

Hirsch also teaches several fuel delivery regulation assembly embodiments. An embodiment of the assembly includes a slidable shutter assembly 400 [shutter member] with the size of its apertures controlled by the relative placement of first and second components 402a, 402b (para. 53-59; Figs. 3A-4B). The shutter assembly is actuated by a control system 408 which may include mechanical means,

Art Unit: 1795

such as servos and/or a motor with a gear and lever assembly [rotary unit] (para. 58, 59; Figs. 4A, 4B). Another aspect of the fuel delivery regulation assembly includes a fuel flow control element 1205 [permeation control film] that is an expandable material actuated by a variety of mechanisms, such as methanol concentration, in order to regulate the flow of fuel to the MEA (para. 78, 79; Figs. 12A, 12B).

Although Hirsch does not expressly teach that the fuel supplier includes *both* a permeation control film and shutter member (emphasis added), it has been held that “[i]t is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art.” *In re Kerkhoven*, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP 2144.06 (I).

*Regarding claim 6*, Hirsch teaches that actuation of the slidable shutter assembly is controlled by a control system, as described above. The reference also teaches that the control system may respond to feedback from the fuel cell system, such as that generated based on the concentration of fuel that is being delivered to the anode aspect of the MEA (para. 66).

*Regarding claim 7*, Hirsch teaches a fuel flow control assembly may include a series of expandable components 1121a-c, which expand upon actuation (in response to methanol concentration changes, for example), and a series of second components 1215a-d (para. 79; Fig. 12B). When expandable components 1121a-c are not actuated, the second components 1215a-d are fully open and permit the flow of fuel through it (para. 80). When the expandable components are actuated, they expand which causes the second components to deform and thus restrict the fuel flow (para. 79). The entire assembly may be used as the fuel control element 1205 shown in Fig. 12A (para. 79). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the deformable, second components described above as holes, or cut portions, formed in the expandable material of the fuel

Art Unit: 1795

control element of Hirsch because holes formed in the expandable material would perform in a manner similar to that described.

*Regarding claim 10*, Hirsch teaches a fuel flow control assembly 1220 may include a housing 1222 with openings (to allow fuel to flow therethrough) and one or more flexible bladders 1224 (para. 80; Fig. 12C). By expanding the bladder via filling it with anodically generated carbon dioxide, the housing expands to control introduction of fuel (from the fuel tank [fuel supply unit]), to the MEA (para. 80).

Although Hirsch does not expressly teach that the fuel supply unit *itself* is configured so as to change its volume depending on its internal pressure (emphasis added), since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in several pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art (e.g., *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965)), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the fuel supply unit used in the fuel cell system of Hirsch to change its volume in response to its internal pressure because Hirsch teaches that this is another means with which to control fuel flow. See MPEP 2144.04 (V)(B).

*Regarding claim 12*, Hirsch teaches that the bladder 1224 of a fuel flow control assembly 1220 is filled it with anodically generated carbon dioxide [gas produced at the fuel electrode], as discussed above with respect to claim 10.

Although Hirsch does not expressly teach that a gas duct guides the carbon dioxide discussed above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use piping, such as a gas duct, to move the gas produced at the fuel electrode used in the fuel cell system of Hirsch to its fuel vessel because ducts are well-known in the art as means with which to

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In sum, applicants make the following arguments in their remarks:

(a) "... Hirsch teaches a vapor fuel supply system, while Applicants claim a fuel supplier in a liquid fuel supply system." (see p. 5);

(b) "... Hirsch also fails to teach a "permeation control film that comprises a liquid fuel permeable film" as required by Applicants' independent claim 1 ... Instead, ... Hirsch merely teaches an MDF, which converts a liquid fuel to a vapor fuel. Hirsch's MDF cannot be said to be a liquid fuel permeable film because liquid fuel cannot permeate the MDF." (see p. 5);

(c) "...Hirsch fails to teach "said permeation control film restricts the amount of transmission of said supplementary liquid fuel based on a fuel concentration of a liquid fuel in said fuel supply system" as required by claim 1. ..." (see p. 6); and,

(d) "... in accordance with the instant claimed invention, the concentration of the liquid fuel in the fuel vessel is adjusted to power generation, and the permeation control film and the shutter member control the movement of the constituent of the fuel, and the supplementary liquid is more concentrated than the liquid fuel in the fuel vessel." (see p. 6)

5. As to applicants' arguments (a)-(c) above, it appears that applicant fails to appreciate that the Hirsch reference teaches "... The regulation assembly 120 can be used to limit or control the amount of fuel that travels from the tank 110 to the MDF 112, *or the fuel delivery directly to the anode aspect* [emphasis added] (para. 51, 52). ... Another aspect of the fuel delivery regulation assembly includes a fuel flow control element 1205 [permeation control film] that is an expandable material actuated by a variety of mechanisms, such as methanol concentration, in order to regulate the flow of fuel to the MEA (para. 78, 79; Figs. 12A, 12B). ...", as described in the rejections presented above. Thus, applicants' contentions with respect to the Hirsch reference are not persuasive.

6. As to applicants' argument (d) above, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

Art Unit: 1795

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### ***Conclusion***

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### ***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Edu E. Enin-Okut** whose telephone number is **571-270-3075**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 7 a.m. - 3 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on 571-272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

Art Unit: 1795

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edu E Enin-Okut/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795